DEPUTY SHARON BYRD		141~269957-14	141
	TARI		
A CERTIFIED COPY	Agreement		
Was Steno Used?	ORDERS OF COURT Was		Date of Orders
	RICHARDSON, TX 75081 BarID: 24012546TX (214)570-6296 DAVID KLOSTERBOER & ASSOCIATES VDELGADO@TRAVELERS.COM		
	DELGADO, VIONETTE D 1301 E COLLINS BLVD STE 490	GENERAL MOTORS, LLC ET AL	Paid By
	DALLAS, TX 75204 Barid: 24004798TX Ph (214)987-8240 PLTF THE MARYE FIRM EMARYE@MARYELAW.COM	∢8.	Jury Pee \$
	MARYE, ERIC H. 2619 HIBERNIA ST	RICHARD KNOX	Date Filed 01/03/2014
	ATTORNEYS	NAMES OF PARTIES	
		Cause Of Action: INJURY OR DAMAGE, OTHER INJURY OR DAMAGE	Cause Of Action:
141-269957-14	ket Discovery: 3	Civil Docket	

CIVIL CASE INFORMATION SHEET

Cause Number (FOR	CLERK USE ONLY):		I-269957-14 (Court (<i>for clerk u</i> s	SE ONLY): _	FILED TARRANT COUNTY 1/3/2014 3:43:20 PM	
STYLED ROSERVAN C	laneral Motors, LLC; Commercial Contracting Corporators WP	M. Inc ; WPM Cor	nsonction Seneces, Inc.; WPM-Souther	rri, LLC; Christopher J. Leon, Katshin Béos	rau; Leoni Equipmen	COMPANIES -THOMAS A. WILDER	
(e A civil case information sheet me	.g., John Smith v. All American Insursu 1st be completed and submitted wh ent petition for modification or m	ice Co; în r ien an ori;	e Mary Ann Jones; in the ginal petition or appli	e Matter of the Estate of Ge ication is filed to initiate	orge Jackson e a new civi) DISTRICT CLERI II, family law, probate, or mental	
	n completing case information sh	eet:	Names of parties i	n case:		or entity completing sheet is:	
Name:	Name: Email:		Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s):		Attorney for Plaintiff/Petitioner Pro Se Plaintiff/Petitioner		
Eric H. Maryo	amaryo@maryolaw.com		Richard Knex		☐Title IV-D Agency ☐Other:		
Address:	Telephone:				Additional Parties in Child Support Case		
2619 Htbemie Stroot	214-957-5240		Defendant(s)/Respondent(s):		Additional Parties in Child Support Case: Custodial Parent:		
City/State/Zip:	Fax:		General Motors, LLC; Commercial Contracting Corporation, NPM, Inc.		Custodiai	rarem:	
Dallas, Toxas 75204	214-587-6241		WPM Construction Services, Inc.; WPM-Southern LLC, Christopher J. Leo		Non-Custodial Parent:		
Signature:			Kacalyn Dhoževi; Leon Equipmont Company, LLC; and WH L. Equipmen		Presumed Father:		
Eur H. Maryels)	24004798		[Attach additional page as necessary to list all parties]		Fresumed Patret:		
2 Indicate care tune as Identific	the most important issue in the c	ase (seler					
e, sugresse case type, or menuty	Civil			,	Fam	ily Law	
		1				Post-judgment Actions	
Contract	Injury or Damage	1770	Real Property	Marriage Relat	ionship	(non-Title IV-D)	
Debt/Contract	Assault/Battery Construction		ninent Domain/ endemnation	☐Annulment ☐Declare Marris	oc Void	Modification—Custody	
☐Consumer/DTPA ☐Debt/Contract	Defamation		nition	Divorce	igo voia	☐Modification—Other	
Fraud/Misrepresentation	Malpractice		iet Title	■With Child:		Title IV-D	
Other Debt/Contract:	Accounting Legal		espass to Try Title	□No Children)	☐ Enforcement/Modification	
		Oti	her Property:			Paternity	
Foreclosure Home Equity—Expedited		-		-		☐Reciprocals (UIFSA) ☐Support Order	
Other Foreclosure	Liability:	P 2	elated to Criminal				
☐Franchise	Motor Vehicle Accident	,	Matters	Other Family Law		Parent-Child Relationship	
☐Insurance ☐Landlord/Tenant	Premises		punction	Enforce Forei	gn	Adoption/Adoption with	
Non-Competition	Product Liability		Igment Nisi	Judgment		Termination Child Protection	
Partnership Other Contract:	☐Asbestos/Silica		n-Disclosure izure/Forfeiture	☐Habeas Corpu ☐Name Change		Child Support	
Other Contract:	Other Product Liability List Product:		rit of Habeas Corpus-	. = -		Custody or Visitation	
	East Floduct.		e-indictment	Removal of D	isabilities	Gestational Parenting	
	Other Injury or Damage:	□0i	her:	of Minority		☐ Grandparent Access ☐ Parentage/Paternity	
	Nogligiant Activity			□Other:		Termination of Parental	
	Otho	r Civil				Rights	
Employment	Administrative Appeal		wyer Discipline			Other Parent-Child:	
☐Discrimination ☐Retaliation	Antitrust/Unfair		rpetuate Testimony	ł			
Termination	Competition		curities/Stock	· I			
Workers' Compensation	☐Code Violations		rtious Interference			1	
Other Employment:	☐Foreign Judgment	□Ct	her:	- 		l i	
	Intellectual Property						
Tax			Probate &	Mental Health			
Tax Appraisal	Probate/Wills/Intestate Admini			☐Guardianship—Ad ☐Guardianship—Mi			
Tax Delinquency	☐ Dependent Administration☐ Independent Administrat	ian		Mental Health	101		
Other Tax	Other Estate Proceedings			Other:			
	<u> </u>						
3. Indicate procedure or remed	y, if applicable (may select more to	nan I): ratory Jud	gment	I Preis	dgment Re	medy	
Arbitration-related	Gami		·B····	Prote	ctive Order		
Attachment	Interp	leader		Rece			
☐Bill of Review	Licen			Lisequ	estration	enining Order/Injunction	
☐Centiorari ☐Mandamus ☐Post-judgment		☐ Temporary Restraining Order/Injunction ☐ Turnover			Talling Order Injunetion		
	not select if it is a family law case	·):		A CE	RTIFIE) COPY	
It ess than \$100,000, including	g damages of any kind, penalties, c	osts, expe	nses, pre-judgment in	iterest, and attorney rees	~ ~	3 16	
				ATTEST:	-j-	¥()~!Y 1	
Over \$100, 000 but not more Over \$200,000 but not more	than \$1,000,000				IASA V	VILDER	
Over \$1,000,000				DIS	RICT	LERK Pay 2/13	
				TARRAN	COUN	ITY, TEXAS	
nu. XX m							
BY: DEPUTY (2C.							
				SH	ARON E	SYRD (TO)	

141-269957-14 THE MARYE FIRM

THE MARYE FIRM

2619 Hibernia St.

Dallas, Texas 75204

Tel. (214) 987-8240 Fax (214) 987-8241

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 1/3/2014 3:43:20 PM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

January 03, 2014

Mr. Thomas Wilder Tarrant County District Clerk 401 W. Belknap, 3rd Floor Fort Worth, TX 76196 Via E-File

Re: Richard Knox vs. General Motors, LLC., et al

Dear Mr. Wilder:

Below is the information for the citations that we are needing in the above referenced matter:

- 1 Defendant General Motors, LLC, a Michigan Company with its principal place of business in Michigan may be served with process by and through its registered agent Corporation Service Company at 211 E 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701.
- 2. Defendant Commercial Contracting Corporation, a Michigan Corporation with its principal place of business in Michigan may be served may be served by and through the Secretary of State by being served with process by and through its registered agent, W.H. Pettibone Jr., 4260 N. Atlantic Boulevard, Auburn Hills, Michigan, 48326.
- 3. Defendant W.P.M., Inc., a Michigan Corporation with its principal place of business in Michigan may be served with process by and through its registered agent, Christopher J. Leoni, 15800 Applewhite, San Antonio, Texas 78264.
- 4. Defendant WPM Construction Services, Inc., a Michigan Corporation with its principal place of business in Michigan may be served with process by and through its registered agent, InCorp Services, Inc., 815 Brazos Street, Suite 500, Austin, Texas 78701.
- 5 Defendant WPM-Southern, LLC, is a Michigan Company with its principal place of business in Michigan, may be served with process by and through its registered agent, Sean B. McNelis, 1100 N.E. Loop 410, Suite 550, San Antonio, Texas 78209.
- Defendant Christopher J. Leoni, an individual residing in the state of Texas may be served at 15800 Applewhite, San Antonio, Texas 78264.
- 7. Defendant Katelyn Bilodeau, an individual residing in the State of Michigan may be served by and through the Secretary of State by being served at 135 Maywood Avenue, Rochester, Michigan 48307.
- 8. Defendant Leoni Equipment Company, LLC., is a Michigan Company with its principal place of business in Michigan, may be served with process by and through its registered agent, William H. Leoni, Jr., 8127 Industrial Park Drive, Grand Blanc, Michigan 48439

A CERTIFIED COPY

THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

DEPL

SHARON BYRD 2095

9. Defendant W.H.L Equipment Inc., is a Michigan Company with its principal place of by air passin COUNTY Michigan, may be served with process by and through its registered agent, William H. Leoni, Jr1/32274 3:43:20 PM Industrial Park Drive, Grand Blanc, Michigan 48439

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Sincerely,

/s/ Eric H. Marye

Eric H. Marye Attorney at Law

EHM/cw

CAUSE NO.

FILED
TARRANT COUNTY
1/3/2014 3:43:20 PM
THOMAS A. WILDER
DISTRICT CLERK

		•
RICHARD KNOX	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
Plaintiff	§	
	§	
v.	§	
	§	
GENERAL MOTORS, LLC;	§	
COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING	§	
CORPORATION; W.P.M., INC.;	§	JUDICIAL DISTRICT
WPM CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, I	NC.; §	
WPM-SOUTHERN, LLC; LEONI	§	
EQUIPTMENT COMPANY, LLC;	§	
W.H.L. EQUIPMENT, INC.;	§	
CHRISTOPHER J. LEONI; AND	§	
KATELYN BILODEAU	§	
Defendants	§	TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COMES Richard Knox (hereinafter "Plaintiff") complaining of and about General Motors, LLC; Commercial Contracting Corporation, W.P.M., Inc.; WPM Construction Services, Inc.; WPM-Southern, LLC; Leoni Equipment Company, LLC; W.H.L. Equipment, Inc.; Christopher J. Leoni; and Katelyn Bilodeau ("Defendants") and with cause of action would show unto the Court the following:

DISCOVERY CONTROL PLAN

Pursuant to Texas Rules of Civil Procedure Rule 190, discovery for this case is intended to be 1.1 conducted under Level 3. As required by Rule 47(b), Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff's counsel states that the damages sought are in an amount within the jurisdictional limits of this court. As required by Rule 47(c), Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff's counsel states that Plaintiff

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 1/3/2014 3:43:20 PM

seeks monetary relief in a sum greater than \$100,000. The amount of monetary relief bistrick clerk awarded, however, will ultimately be determined by a jury. Plaintiff also seeks pre-judgment and post judgment interest at the highest legal rate.

II. PARTIES AND SERVICE

PLAINTIFF

2.1 Plaintiff Richard Knox is a resident of Bryan County, Georgia.

DEFENDANTS

- 2.2 Defendant General Motors, LLC, a Michigan Company with its principal place of business in Michigan may be served with process by and through its registered agent Corporation Service Company at 211 E 7th Street, Suite 620, Austin, Texas 78701.
- 2.3 Defendant Commercial Contracting Corporation, a Michigan Corporation with its principal place of business in Michigan may be served by and through the Secretary of State, State of Texas, Citation Unit, P.O. Box 12079, Austin, Texas 78711.
- 2.4 Defendant W.P.M., Inc., a Michigan Corporation with its principal place of business in Michigan may be served with process by and through its registered agent, Christopher J. Leoni, 15800 Applewhite, San Antonio, Texas 78264.
- 2.5 Defendant WPM Construction Services, Inc., a Michigan Corporation with its principal place of business in Michigan may be served with process by and through its registered agent, InCorp Services, Inc., 815 Brazos Street, Suite 500, Austin, Texas 78701.
- 2.6 Defendant WPM-Southern, LLC, is a Michigan Company with its principal place of business in Michigan, may be served with process by and through its registered agent, Sean B. McNelis, 1100

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 1/3/2014 3:43:20 PM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

- N.E. Loop 410, Suite 550, San Antonio, Texas 78209.
- 2.7 Defendant Leoni Equipment Company, LLC., is a Michigan Company with its principal place of business in Michigan, may be served with process by and through the Secretary of State, State of Texas, Citation Unit, P.O. Box 12079, Austin, Texas 78711.
- 2.8 Defendant W.H.L Equipment Inc., is a Michigan Company with its principal place of business in Michigan, may be served with process by and through the Secretary of State, State of Texas, Citation Unit, P.O. Box 12079, Austin, Texas 78711.
- 2.9 Defendant Christopher J. Leoni, an individual residing in the state of Texas may be served at 15800 Applewhite, San Antonio, Texas 78264.
- 2.10 Defendant Katelyn Bilodeau, an individual residing in the State of Michigan may be served by and through the Secretary of State, State of Texas, Citation Unit, P.O. Box 12079, Austin, Texas 78711.

III. MISNOMER, ALTER-EGO, & ASSUMED NAME

- 3.1 In the event any parties are misnamed or not included herein, such event was a "misnomer," or such parties are or were "alter-egos" of parties named herein.
- 3.2 General Motors, LLC, is a business operating in Tarrant County, Texas. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action, in part, against General Motors, LLC, and relies upon arts. 6133 *et. seq.*, TEX. REV. CIV. STAT., and Rule 28, Tex. R. Civ. P., in order to properly identify the Defendant herein.

TARRANT COUNTY

- 3.2 W.P.M., Inc., is a business with its principle place of business in Michigan. PlaTHOMAS A. WILDER bring this cause of action, in part, against W.P.M., Inc., and relies upon arts. 6133 *et. seq.*, TEX.

 REV. CIV. STAT., and Rule 28, Tex. R. Civ. P., in order to properly identify the Defendant herein.
- 3.3 WPM Construction Services, Inc., is a business with its principle place of business in Michigan. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action, in part, against WPM Construction Services, Inc., and relies upon arts. 6133 *et. seq.*, TEX. REV. CIV. STAT., and Rule 28, Tex. R. Civ. P., in order to properly identify the Defendant herein.
- 3.4 WPM-Southern, LLC, is a business with its principle place of business in Michigan. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action, in part, against WPM-Southern, LLC, and relies upon arts. 6133 *et. seq.*, TEX. REV. CIV. STAT., and Rule 28, Tex. R. Civ. P., in order to properly identify the Defendant herein.
- 3.5 Leoni Equipment Company LLC., is a business with its principle place of business in Michigan. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action, in part, against Leoni Equipment Company LLC., and relies upon arts. 6133 *et. seq.*, TEX. REV. CIV. STAT., and Rule 28, Tex. R. Civ. P., in order to properly identify the Defendant herein.
- 3.6 W.H.L Equipment Inc., is a business with its principle place of business in Michigan. Plaintiffs bring this cause of action, in part, against Leoni Equipment Company LLC., and relies upon arts. 6133 *et. seq.*, TEX. REV. CIV. STAT., and Rule 28, Tex. R. Civ. P., in order to properly identify the Defendant herein.
- 3.7 Additionally any miss-naming of a Defendant or failure to name a proper Defendant is a misnomer or mistake, and this misnomer or mistake will not mislead or disadvantage the correct entity as the correct entity will have notice of this suit by service to the incorrect but related entity.

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 1/3/2014 3:43:20 PM

Enserch Corp. v. Parker, 794 S.W.2d 2, 4-5 (Tex.1990), Chilkewitz v. Hyson, 22 S.W.3d SpistRict Clerk (Tex.1999), Mahar v. Herrman, 69 S.W.3d 332, 338 (Tex.App—Fort Worth 2002, pet. denied), Flour Bluff ISD v. Bass, 133 S.W.3d 272, 274 (Tex.2004).

IV. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 4.1 The subject matter in controversy is within the jurisdictional limits of this Court
- 4.2 This Court has jurisdiction over Defendants as they are doing business in the State of Texas and the occurrence which forms the basis of this lawsuit occurred in Texas.
- 4.3 Venue is proper in Tarrant County, Texas under the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code in that the acts or events complained of occurred in Tarrant, County, Texas.

V. FACTS

On or about January 3, 2012, Plaintiff, an employee of W.P.M., Inc., returned to the Commercial Contracting Corporation worksite, on property owned by General Motors, LLC, in Arlington, Tarrant County, Texas. In the morning meeting it was pointed out to the Plaintiff that an excavator (Kobelco SK 480LC Hydraulic Excavator) had been left in an incorrect location by "Pedro", another W.P.M., Inc. employee. This excavator had been brought on the worksite by the authority and under the direction of Christopher J. Leoni, an officer and principle in W.P.M., Inc.; WPM-Southern, LLC; WPM Construction Services, Inc.; Leoni Equipment Company, LLC.; and W.H.L. Equipment Inc. It is believed at this time that the excavator was owned or leased by W.P.M., Inc.; WPM-Southern, LLC; WPM Construction Services Inc.; Leoni Equipment Company, LLC.; and/or W.H.L. Equipment Inc. Plaintiff intended to move the excavator to the proper parking location. While Plaintiff was climbing the excavator he grabbed the handrail with his right hand and

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 1/3/2014 3:43:20 PM

then fell backwards, landing on his head and neck, rendering him unconscious. Plaintiff aw BETRICT CLERK was immediately transported to the emergency room. Later the Plaintiff was informed by Katelyn Bilodeau, the onsite safety officer for Commercial Contracting Corporation, that she had failed and "red flagged" that excavator due to the rusted and broken handrail, but on the insistence of Pedro and Christopher J. Leoni, she had rescinded her previous decision and allowed the use of the excavator

VI. NEGLIGENCE

- 6.1 Defendants owed a duty to Plaintiff to provide a safe environment to carry on his work. This duty includes providing reasonably safe and suitable signage and safety tools to indicate that an unsafe condition may exist, help prevent any injuries, and provide safety training and instruction to all employees.
- 6.2 Defendants had reason to anticipate injury of employees due to the nature of the work involved and negligently failed to perform the duty arising on account of that anticipation in terms of providing a safe work environment and adequate safety instruction for employees.
- 6.3 Specifically, Defendants negligently failed to maintain the excavator in such a manner that the handrail and steps broke and gave way when the Plaintiff attempted to climb aboard the excavator, by negligently allowed a defective excavator onto the job site, negligently failed to remove from operation a known defective excavator, and by not noting in the excavator log book the conditions which led to the excavator needing to be removed from operation. The failure of the Defendants to perform said duties proximately caused the personal injuries of Plaintiff complained of herein.

PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION – KNOX PAGE 6

prior to repairs.

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 1/3/2014 3:43:20 PM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

VII. PREMISES NEGLIGENCE

- 7.1 At the time of the incident the General Motors, LLC, Defendants owned, leased, or otherwise occupied the premises where the incident occurred.
- 7.2 The project in question posed an unreasonable risk of harm to Plaintiff Richard Knox and others, and the General Motors, LLC, Defendants knew or reasonably should have known of the danger.
- 7.3 The General Motors, LLC, Defendants failed to exercise ordinary care to protect Plaintiff Richard Knox and others from the dangers of faulty equipment by both failing to adequately warn of the dangerous condition or by enacting and enforcing lock out/tag out procedures to isolate the faulty equipment from where he was working.
- 7.4 The General Motors, LLC, Defendants failure to use ordinary care proximately caused the incident, injuries, and damages to Plaintiffs.
- 7.5 In the alternative, the General Motors, LLC, Defendants had actual knowledge of injury-producing hazard and exercised or retained some control over the manner in which the injury-causing work was performed, other than the right to order the work to start or stop or to inspect progress or receive reports.
- 7.6 The General Motors, LLC, Defendants' failure to use ordinary care proximately caused the incident, injuries and damages to Plaintiffs.

VIII. DAMAGES

8.1 As a direct and proximate result of the occurrence made the basis of this lawsuit, Plaintiff was injured. Plaintiff demands compensation for the following damages.

- 1/3/2014 3:43:20 PM
 THOMAS A. WILDER
 incurred by Plaintiff for the necessary care and treatment of the injuries
 resulting from the incident complained of herein and such charges are
 reasonable and were usual and customary charges for such services;
- Reasonable and necessary medical care and expenses which will, in all reasonable probability, be incurred in the future;
- 3. Physical pain and suffering in the past;
- 4. Physical pain and suffering which will, in all reasonable probability, be suffered in the future;
- 5. Mental anguish in the past;
- 6. Mental anguish which will, in all reasonable probability, be suffered in the future;
- 7. Loss of earnings in the past;
- 8. Loss of future earning capacity;
- 9. Past and future Physical impairment;
- 10. Past and future physical disfigurement; and
- 11. Past and future loss of household services.
- 8.2 By reason of all of the above, Plaintiff has suffered losses and damages in a sum within the jurisdictional limits of the Court and for which this lawsuit is brought.

IX. <u>JURY DEMAND</u>

9.1 Pursuant to Rule 216 of the Texas Rule of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff respectfully requests that

this case be tried before a jury.

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 1/3/2014 3:43:20 PM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

X. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff respectfully prays that Defendants be cited to appear and answer herein, and that upon a final hearing of the cause, judgment be entered for the Plaintiff against Defendants for damages in an amount in excess of the minimal jurisdictional limits of this court, together with pre-judgment interest (from the date of injury through the date of judgment) at the maximum rate allowed by law; post-judgment interest at the legal rate, costs of court; and such other and further relief to which the Plaintiff may be entitled at law or in equity.

Respectfully Submitted, **THE MARYE FIRM**

/s/ Eric H. Marye

ERIC H. MARYE

State Bar of Texas No. 24004798

emarye@maryelaw.com

MARC S. CHASTAIN

State Bar of Texas No. 24081528

mchastain@maryelaw.com

2619 Hibernia Street

Dallas, TX 75204

Phone (214) 987-8240

Fax (214) 987-8241

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

CAUSE NO. 141-269957-14

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 2/18/2014 9:40:23 AM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

RICHARD KNOX,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
PLAINTIFF,	§	
	§	
VS.	§	141ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	§	
GENERAL MOTORS, LLC;	§	
COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING	§	
CORPORATION; W.P.M., INC.;	§	
WPM CONSTRUCTION SERVICES,	§	
INC.; WPM-SOUTHERN, LLC,	§	
LEONI EQUIPMENT COMPANY,	§	
LLC; W.H.L. EQUIPMENT, INC.;	§	
CHRISTOPHER J. LEONI; AND	§	
KATELYN BILODEAU	§	
DEFENDANTS.	§	TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS

DEFENDANTS COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING CORPORATION AND KATELYN BILODEAU'S ORIGINAL ANSWER

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

NOW COME, Commercial Contracting Corporation and Katelyn Bilodeau, Defendants in the above-entitled and numbered cause, and in response to Plaintiff's Original Petition state to the Court and jury the following:

I.

Pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant enters this General Denial, and Defendants deny generally, each and every, all and singular, the allegations contained in Plaintiff's Original Petition and demand strict proof thereof.

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendants Commercial Contracting Corporation and Katelyn Bilodeau, having fully answered herein, pray that Plaintiff take nothing by reason of this suit, that Defendants go hence without day and recover costs of Court, and for such

A CERTIFIED COPY

TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS

ATTEST: THOMAS A. WILD

DEFENDANTS COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING CORPORATION AND KATELYN BILODEAU'S ORIGINAL ANS

SHARON BYRD

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 2/18/2014 9:40:23 AM

other and further relief, both general and special, at law and in equity, to which Defendants DISTRICT CLERK justly entitled.

Respectfully Submitted,

DAVID KLOSTERBOER & ASSOCIATES

VIONETTE DELGADO Texas Bar No. 24012546 1301 E. Collins Blvd., Suite 490

Richardson, TX 75081

Direct Telephone: 214-570-6296 Main Telephone: 214-570-6300 Direct Facsimile: 855-870-1279 Email: vdelgado@travelers.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING CORPORATION AND KATELYN BILODEAU

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that, on this February 18, 2014, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was forwarded to all counsel of record as follows:

Eric H. Marye The Marye Firm 2619 Hibernia Street Dallas, Texas 75204 Facsimile 214-987-8241

Attorney for Plaintiff, Richard Knox Hand Delivery
Facsimile
XXX E-service

Receipted Commercial Delivery Regular U.S. Mail

Vionette Delgado



FILED TARRANT COUNTY 2/18/2014 10:03:59 AM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

The State of Texas Secretary of State

2014-236262-1

I, the undersigned, as Secretary of State of Texas DO HEREBY CERTIFY that according to the records of this office, a copy of the Citation and Plaintiff's Original Petition in the cause styled:

Richard Knox vs. General Motors, LLC et al 141st Judicial District Court Of Tarrant County, Texas Cause No: 14126995714

was received by this office on January 23, 2014, and that a copy was forwarded on February 6, 2014, by CERTIFIED MAIL, return receipt requested to:

Commercial Contracting Corporation W.H. Pettibone Jr., Registered Agent 4260 N. Atlantic Boulevard Auburn Hills, MI 48326

The RETURN RECEIPT was received in this office dated February 10, 2014, bearing signature.

Date issued: February 10, 2014

VANDITA BERRY

A CERTIFIED COPY

ATTEST

Nandita Berry Secretary of State

CT/vo

THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

Exhibit A - Page 16

The State of Texas



Service of Process P.O. Box 12079 Austin, Texas 78711-2079

Secretary of State

2014-236262

TARRANT COUNTY 2/18/2014 10:03:59 AM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK Phone: 512-463-5560

Fax: 512-463-0873

www.sos.state.tx.us

TTY (800) 735-2989

Include reference number in all correspondence

February 10, 2014

Eric H. Marye The Marye Firm 2619 Hibernia St Dallas, TX 75204

RE: Richard Knox vs. General Motors, LLC et al

141st Judicial District Court Of Tarrant County, Texas

Cause No: 14126995714

Varita Okpackoue

Dear Sir/Madam:

Please find enclosed your Certificate(s) of Service for the case styled above.

If this office may be of further assistance to you, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

Venita Okpegbue Service of Process

Enclosure

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 2/20/2014 9:20:01 AM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

NO. 141-269957-14

RICHARD KNOX	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
V.	§	OF TARRANT COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
GENERAL MOTORS, LLC.;	§	
COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING	§	
CORPORATION; W.P.M., INC.;	§	
WPM CONSTRUCTION SERVICES, INC.;	§	
WPM-SOUTHERN, LLC; LEONI	§	
EQUIPMENT COMPANY, LLC;	§	
W.H.L. EQUIPMENT, INC.;	§	
CHRISTOPHER J. LEONI; AND	§	
KATELYN BILODEAU	§	
	§	
Defendants.	§	141 ST JUDICIAL DISTRICT

<u>DEFENDANT GENERAL MOTORS LLC'S ORIGINAL ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S</u> <u>ORIGINAL PETITION</u>

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

Defendant General Motors LLC ("General Motors" or "GM"), files this its Special Exception and Original Answer to Plaintiff's Original Petition, and would respectfully show the Court as follows:

I.

GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, General Motors denies each and every material allegation contained in Plaintiff's Original Petition (including any amendment thereto), demands strict proof thereof, and to the extent such matters are questions of fact, says Plaintiff should prove such facts by a preponderance of the evidence to a jury if they can do so.

A CERTIFIED COPY

THOMAS A. WILDER
DISTRICT CLERK
TARRANT COUNTY, TEXA

DEFENDANT GENERAL MOTORS, LLC'S S ORIGINAL ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION

HARON BYRD

II.

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 2/20/2014 9:20:01 AM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

Pleading further, alternatively, and by way of affirmative defense, General Motors would show that, at the time and on the occasion in question, Plaintiff failed to use that degree of care and caution that would have been used by a person with ordinary prudence under the same or similar circumstances, and that such failure was alternatively, a proximate cause or a producing cause or the sole proximate or the sole producing cause of the accident in question and any alleged injuries and damages stemming therefrom. General Motors therefore invokes the comparative responsibility provisions of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code § 33.001, et. seq. Additionally, General Motors herein pleads for contribution, indemnity and comparative responsibility from any and all parties whose negligence and/or fault is shown to be a proximately and/or producing cause of the occurrence in question pursuant to Chapters 32 and 33 of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code. Similarly, to the extent that Plaintiff enters into settlements with any other parties, or alternatively collect any judgments from any other parties, General Motors prays for lawful offsets, credits and reductions pursuant to the laws of the State of Texas.

III.

Pleading further, alternatively, and by way of affirmative defense, General Motors would show the accident in question and Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages were the result of negligent acts and/or omissions of others beyond General Motors' control, whose acts or omissions were a proximate cause or a producing cause or the sole proximate or the sole producing cause of the accident in question and Plaintiff's alleged injuries and damages.

IV.

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 2/20/2014 9:20:01 AM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

Pleading further, alternatively, and by way of affirmative defense, General Motors would show, in the unlikely event that any liability be found on the part of General Motors, that such liability be reduced by the percentage of the causation found to have resulted from the negligence and/or from the acts or omissions of Plaintiff and/or any other third parties pursuant to Chapters 32 and 33 of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code.

V.

General Motors respectfully requests that a court reporter attend all sessions in Court in connection with this case and that said reporter take full notes of all testimony offered, together with any objections, rulings, and remarks of the Court and exemptions thereto and such other proceedings as may be needed or requested by said Defendant. *See Christie v. Price*, 558 S.W.2d 922 (Tex. Civ. App. — Texarkana 1977, no writ).

VI.

General Motors further pleads, in the alternative, that Plaintiff has failed to mitigate damages as required by law.

VII.

General Motors hereby gives actual notice that any and all documents produced during discovery may be used against the producing parties at any pre-trial proceeding and/or trial of this matter without the necessity of authenticating the document. This notice is given pursuant to Rule 193.7 of the Tex. R. Civ. P.

VIII.

General Motors also invokes § 18.091 of the TEX. CIV. PRAC. & REM. CODE and requests that to the extent that Plaintiff seeks recovery for loss of earnings, loss of support, loss of contributions of a pecuniary value, or a loss of inheritance, that the evidence to prove such loss

PAGE 3

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 2/20/2014 9:20:01 AM

must be presented in the form of a net loss after reduction for income tax payments or under A WILDER liability. General Motors further requests under that section that the Court instruct the jury as to whether any recovery for compensatory damages sought by Plaintiff is subject to federal income taxes.

IX.

General Motors invokes § 41.0105 of the Tex. Civ. PRAC. & REM. CODE and requests that to the extent Plaintiff seeks recovery of medical or healthcare expenses, the evidence to prove such loss be limited to the amount actually paid or incurred by or on behalf of the Plaintiff, as opposed to the amount charged.

X. JURY DEMAND

General Motors respectfully demands a jury for the trial of this matter.

XI. REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE

Pursuant to Rule 194, request is made that Plaintiff disclose, within thirty (30) days of service of this request, the information or material described in Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 194.2(a-1).

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant General Motors, LLC respectfully prays that Plaintiff take nothing by this suit; for an award of all costs and expenses incurred on its behalf; and for such other and further relief, both at law and in equity, to which it may show itself justly entitled.

FILED TARRANT COUNTY 2/20/2014 9:20:01 AM THOMAS A. WILDER DISTRICT CLERK

Respectfully submitted,

KYLE DREYER

TX Bar No. 06119500

kdreyer@hdbdlaw.com

BRAD J. ROBINSON

TX Bar No. 24058076

brobinson@hdbdlaw.com

HARTLINE DACUS BARGER DREYER, LLP

6688 North Central Expressway, Suite 1000

Dallas, Texas 75206

Telephone: 214-369-2100

Facsimile: 214-369-2118

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANT GENERAL MOTORS, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing document has been sent to all known counsel of record pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure on this the 20th day of February, 2014.

JIMSGTM8

TARRANT COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK'S OFFICE
ALL TRANSACTIONS FOR A CASE

Page: 1

Date: 02/20/2014

Time: 14:15

Cause Number: 141-269957-14 Date Filed: 01/03/2014 RICHARD KNOX | v | GENERAL MOTORS, LLC ET AL

Cause of Action: INJURY OR DAMAGE, OTHER INJURY OR DAMAGE

S

Case Status · · · : PENDING

	The second secon	1	
Filemark	Description		Fee Total
01/03/2014	CIVIL INFORMATION SHEET	υI	0.00
01/03/2014	CIT SEC OF ST-ISSUED ON KATELYN BILODEAU-ON	NI	8.00
01/03/2014	CITATION TO SECRETARY OF STATE TR# 2 SCAN	UI	0.00
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #2	Y	8.00
01/03/2014	COPIES - ELECTRONIC	N	6.30
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #5	Y	6.30
01/03/2014	CIT SEC OF ST-ISSUED ON W.H.L. EQUIPMENT INCON	NI	8.00
01/03/2014	CITATION TO SECRETARY OF STATE TR# 7 SCAN	UI	0.00
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #7	Y	8.00
01/03/2014	COPIES - ELECTRONIC	N	6.30
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #10	Y	6.30
01/03/2014	CIT Sec of St-ISSUED ON LEONI EQUIPMENT COMPANY	NUI	8.00
01/03/2014	CITATION TO SECRETARY OF STATE TR# 12 SCAN	UI	0.00
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #12	Y	8.00
01/03/2014	COPIES - ELECTRONIC	N	6.30
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #15	Y	6.30
01/03/2014	CIT SEC OF ST-ISSUED ON COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING	NI	8.00
01/03/2014	CITATION TO SECRETARY OF STATE TR# 17 SCAN	UI	0.00
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #17	Y	8.00
01/03/2014	COPIES - ELECTRONIC	N	6.30
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #20	Y	6.30
01/03/2014	CITATION-ISSUED ON GENERAL MOTORS LLC-ON	NI	8.00
01/03/2014	CITATION TR# 22 SCAN	UI	0.00
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #22	Y	8.00
01/03/2014	COPIES - ELECTRONIC	N	3.15
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #25	Y	3.15
01/03/2014	CITATION-ISSUED ON W.P.M. INCON 01/07/2014	NI	8.00
01/03/2014	CITATION TR# 27 SCAN	UI	0.00
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #27	Y	8.00
01/03/2014	COPIES - ELECTRONIC	N	3.15
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #30	Y	3.15
01/03/2014	CITATION-ISSUED ON WPM CONSTRUCTION SERVICES	NI	8.00
01/03/2014	CITATION TR# 32 SCAN	UI	0.00
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #32	Y	8.00
01/03/2014	COPIES - ELECTRONIC	N	3.15
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #35	Y	3.15
01/03/2014	CITATION-ISSUED ON WPM-SOUTHERN LLC-ON	ΝI	8.00
01/03/2014	CITATION TR# 37 SCAN	UI	0.00
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #37	Y	8.00
01/03/2014	COPIES - ELECTRONIC	N	3.15
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #40	Y	3.15
01/03/2014	CITATION-ISSUED ON CHRISTOPHER J. LEONI-ON	NI	8.00
01/03/2014	CITATION TR# 42 SCAN	UI	0.00
01/02/2014	CITATION INT 12 DOM	101	0.00

TARRANT COUNTY DISTRICT CLERK'S OFFICE

ALL TRANSACTIONS FOR A CASE

Page: 2 Date: 02/20/2014

Time: 14:15

Date Filed: 01/03/2014 Cause Number: 141-269957-14 GENERAL MOTORS, LLC ET AL | v | RICHARD KNOX s

Cause of Action: INJURY OR DAMAGE, OTHER INJURY OR DAMAGE
Case Status...: PENDING

JIMSGTM8

Filemark	Description		Fee Total
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #42	Y	8.00
01/03/2014	COPIES - ELECTRONIC	N	3.15
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #45	Y	3.15
01/03/2014	PLTF ORIG PETITION	NI	274.00
01/03/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #47	Y	274.00
01/03/2014	E-FILE TRANSACTION FEE	N	2.00
	COURT COST (PAID) trans #49	Y	2.00
01/03/2014	COMMERCIAL CONTRACTING'S & K. BILODEAU'S ORIG ANS	I	0.00
02/18/2014	E-FILE TRANSACTION FEE	N	2.00
02/18/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #52	Y	2.00
02/18/2014	VERIFICATION FROM SECRETARY OF STATE-COMMERCIAL	I	0.00
02/18/2014	E-FILE TRANSACTION FEE	N	2.00
02/18/2014	COURT COST (PAID) trans #55	Y	2.00
02/18/2014	GENERAL MOTORS LLC'S ORIG ANSWER	_ I	0.00
02/20/2014		N_	2.00
02/20/2014	E-FILE TRANSACTION FEE COURT COST (PAID) trans #58	Y	2.00
02/20/2014	COURT COST (TAID) CTAID #30	1	

Total Number Of Records Printed: 59